UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,220	03/30/2004	Aravind Yalamanchi	50277-2415	7098	
	42425 7590 08/08/2008 HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER/ORACLE			EXAMINER	
2055 GATEWAY PLACE			STEVENS, ROBERT		
SUITE 550 SAN JOSE, CA 95110-1083		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2162		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/08/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/815,220	YALAMANCHI, ARAVIND				
merview cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ROBERT STEVENS	2162				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, F	All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Robert Stevens, USPTO.	(3)					
(2) <u>Adam C. Stone, Reg. No. 60,531</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>05 August 2008</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>42-59</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Barrett, Yalamanchi, Liu, Kumar</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The parties discussed Applicant's inventive subject matter, and compared the subject matter / claims to the previously cited art and to conventional ECA rule processing for composite events. Applicant has previously filed a RCE on 7/28/2008. No agreement was reached at this point. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
	/Robert Stevens/					
Forming Mater Wassers to June 11: 5	Examiner, Art Unit 2162	: d				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required Attachment to a signed Office action. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
	rview Summary	Paper No. 20080804				